

## Comment from Pat Goltz

I am opposed to this new proposal. We should not implement 5G technology at all, since it is high power RF and will harm the health of the people. I do not want to be bathed in RF radiation when I am in town, and since all of my children and grandchildren live in town, they would be bathed in it continually, and I do not want that, either. Secondly, what you are proposing is far more complex than any system ever designed. The more complex it is, the more likely it is to break down and fail, and this will be catastrophic. I also know from experience that any smart technology that distracts the driver is a hazard. Even the GPS display in many cars is a distraction. I can tell you that from experience. Any such technology should not be obvious to a driver. Third, all smart technology must be designed so that the car owner can turn it OFF. We have a constitutional right to be secure in our persons, and this would violate that. It should also be the CHOICE of a car buyer whether to pay for this technology in the first place, and he should be free to refuse it. It should be optional. The rest of us should not be forced to pay for it. As long as this is not optional, I will not buy or drive a newer car at all. I do not want anything about me to be sent to others without my knowledge, including where I am and where I am going. This new proposal sounds like very bad news, and I am totally against it.