

Comment from Pamela Wallace

I am writing in opposition of the mandate for V2X Communications in all vehicles. V2X Communications is best offered as part of a tech package, giving consumers options in terms of its utilization. There is a great deal of scientific research that shows serious health impacts from RF and EMF and increased exposures. There are also many people with diagnosed medical conditions that are effected by or caused by RF and EMF exposures and some who would not be able to be in a vehicle with V2X Communication features. A mandate of this would effectively leave those effected at this level a stranded population and without an option to own or travel in vehicles with V2X features. Transportation is a necessary resource. Leaving these features optional allows everyone access to the transportation they need and the ability to decide how many safety features they want on their vehicles. It also allows those who want or need more control over their RF and EMF exposure levels to have it. Exposure levels are cumulative and given the exponential rise in exposures over the past 10 years in all of our daily environments, having higher levels of control over this is for some very helpful and for others absolutely essential. If this does end up becoming a mandate, medical accommodations will need to be made for those with a medical diagnosis and condition that would prohibit them for owning or being a passenger in a vehicle with V2X Communications and access provided them to vehicles without this feature.

I am also submitting these comments on: Docket NHTSA-2016-0126